

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,879	06/20/2003	Joel David Limmer	I69.12-0587	6664
164	7590 12/08/2005		EXAMINER	
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A. THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING			HEINZ, ALLEN J	
	THIRD STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55415-1002		2653	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,879	LIMMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	A. J. HEINZ	2653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. rriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9,11-13,15,16 and 18 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,10,14 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration. rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ie
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No 3/08) 5) Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) DENDUMS AB&BC)

Application/Control Number: 10/600,879

Art Unit: 2653

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed (11/4/05) in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed concurrently on 11/4/05 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment to the Drawings filed 11/4/05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter.
- 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: while the specification does indicate that the motor is "within actuator block 13", the actuator block 13 is only a schematically identified feature which cannot support the changes indicated in the changes to the Drawings. Therefore the new Drawings have **not** been officially entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,879

Art Unit: 2653

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-6,12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Rohart.

To the extent claimed and understood, the structure as shown in Addendum AB(supplied with this office action) reads on and performs to the same degree as claimed.

Re claims 12&13; Further note that actuator motor 10 is operatively connected to drive link 11.

Re claim 4; because the claim fails to specify what is meant by 'flexible' and since all materials have some degree of elasticity or flexibility, Rohart's quide link is consistent

Application/Control Number: 10/600,879

Art Unit: 2653

with being a 'flexible' link.

5. Claim 1-4,6,12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by the Japanese patent document (59-28216).

Note, to the extent claimed and understood, the structure as shown in Addendum BC (supplied with this office action) reads on and performs to the same degree as claimed.

The actuator motor 32 is operatively connected to drive link 38 via arm 36.

Re claim 4; because the claim fails to specify what is meant by 'flexible' and since all materials have some degree of elasticity or flexibility, the Japanese patent document's guide link is consistent with being a 'flexible' link.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2653

7. Claim 8,9,11,15,16,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Rohart or the Japanese patent document (59-28216), as applied to claims 1&12 above, and further in view that the use of ball bearings are notoriously old in the art as devices to rotatably support one element relative to another.

Therefore, official notice is taken that it would be obvious to use same in either Rohart or the Japanese patent document's device for rotatably supporting the links.

8. For a complete response applicant should identify how the claimed structure of his invention defines over **all** the art of record.

Moreover, where the applicant disagrees with the reasoning and/or application of the prior art on critical points of the claims, they should identify how the claimed structure of their invention defines over **all** the art of record not just the applied art.

Where applicant believes that the art is redundant and/or superfluous relative to the critical aspects of the claimed invention the applicant may simply state so in rebuttal summary.

- 9. Claims 7,10,14&17 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 19&20 are allowable.
- 11. If applicant has filed an information disclosure statement and has not received an office action that contain an initialed-off copy (or copies) of all such filed IDS's (or at least a comment to the disposition of such IDS'S in the body of the office action itself) applicant should apprise the examiner of such missing documentation [to the IDS's] in response to this office action so that the examiner can take appropriate action to supply same to the applicant.
- 12. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first

Art Unit: 2653

action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

^{13.} Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. J. HEINZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-7587. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM KORZUCH can be reached on (571)272-7589.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Art Unit: 2653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. J. HEINZ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2653

Patent

Jun. 14, 1988

Sheet 1 of 4

4,751,596



